



# MEMORANDUM

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE  
PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

HEALTH RESOURCES ADMINISTRATION

~~HEALTH RESOURCES ADMINISTRATION~~

BUREAU OF HEALTH RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT

TO : Chief, South Central Operations

DATE: March 25, 1974

*Nes. S. Silsbee*

FROM : Acting Chief, Operations & Development

SUBJECT: Arthritis Funding

In response to your inquiries, Dr. Pahl had the following to say:

- 1.) The ad hoc review committee will make two kinds of recommendations on each application:

- a.) approval, based on merit;
- b.) recommendation for funding from "Center" earmark.

It is entirely possible that a specific project may be approved on merit, but not recommended for funding as a center. In this situation, an RMP could request funding under its regular application.

If, however, a project is not approved on merit, an RMP may neither request funds nor rebudget funds into it.

- 2.) An RMP may include requests for arthritis activities as part of its regular application. These will not be reviewed by the ad hoc review group.

Sarah J. Silsbee

3/27/74

*Judy: Dr. Pahl finds interpretation OK.  
He feels however (in item #12) that all  
arthritis proposals should be reviewed  
by the ad hoc group (15's - 16's). He does not  
want "center like" activities to be funded  
through the back door.*

*CPC*